

# Questions

## To: All Candidates

*From: Boris*

### **1. What is your highest priority for the IAM for the next few months?**

I applied because I think there are a lot of things to work on and to improve. So I do not see a unique priority since the aspects to work on are many (ease processes for organizers, having more competitions, better PR work, better integration and officialization of ML, clear paths to follow for newcomers, clear rules in terms of arbitring...).

Summing up I believe the priority is to decide how to deal with all these aspects by making a proper plan to follow in the next few months to implement everything that has to be implemented.

### **2. How much time do you plan to dedicate to this volunteer role?**

It depends on what's necessary to do. I'll say at least one hour per week, as mentioned in the rules. Probably more in the first weeks since I've a lot to propose.

### **3. How much time do you expect from other board members to commit?**

I expect at least one hour per week, more at the beginning as I previously mentioned.

### **4. Whom would you give your vote for chairperson of the board?**

-

## To: those who compete themselves as memory athletes

*From: Boris*

### **5. Do you see a possible conflict of interest if you are a board member and decide/discuss on rules of the sport or details such as prize money distribution? If yes, how do you plan to deal with that?**

I don't see conflicts. Actually I think that an athlete can better understand what are the needs and the things to work on since ultimately the goal is to improve the competitor's experience (and learn things for organizers).

If there are aspects that are clearly in conflict (like prize money distribution) I'm ready to take a step back in these discussions.

## To: All Candidates

*From: Sylle*

**8. What do you think would be ways of going from the covid-time PR drought (having competitions results and even new World Records not being reported) to having a more efficient way of informing members of memory-related news and reaching for new audiences?**

I think it's a good idea to assign roles to specific people. So to have for example 1/2 board members in charge of PR stuff which is definitely something that was neglected in these past two years.

If an activity is supposed to be done by anybody the result is often that nobody do that since it's not specifically required for him to do that.

**9. What would you strive for the most: having high-participation competitions, having a lot more live competitions every year or having a high density of high-performing athletes at selected competitions (continental and world events)?**

I think having more live competitions is the best thing since (if combined with a good PR work and improvements on other aspects) the other things will probably follow.

Especially if we have live ML competitions since ML is clearly the most populated part of Memory Sports and following this path can be an optimal solution to have more participants, spectators, competitions, sponsors...